To: Curtin, James[curtin.james@epa.gov]; Keating, Jim[Keating.Jim@epa.gov]

Cc: Reichert, Julie[reichert.julie@epa.gov]; Chemerys, Ruth[Chemerys.Ruth@epa.gov]; Monschein, Eric[Monschein.Eric@epa.gov]; Whitlock, Steve[Whitlock.Steve@epa.gov]; Goodin, John[Goodin.John@epa.gov]

From: Furtak, Sarah

Sent: Wed 9/25/2013 3:02:55 PM
Subject: Confirmed: Review of R10 draft
Ecology Meeting Prep 09-17-13.docx

When: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 3:00 PM-4:00 PM (GMT-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where: DCRoomWest6300D/DC-CCW-OW-WEST

Note: The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.

~~*~*~*~*~*

Draft-Deliberative Attorney-Client Privilege*

Kenai is reserved

Call-in

Code 2 Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Objective: Touch base/aggregate comments on R10 draft. Then we'll respond to R10 with it (also providing John Goodin, Tom Wall an update).

DRAFT-DELIBERATIVE* ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE*

Jim & Jim,

The material received from Region 10 (Dave Croxton) in follow-up to our debrief with R10 on Sept. 10 is reattached. This "Ecology Meeting Prep" may be a good starting point toward the draft 2-pager (for circulation between state/tribe/EPA) John requested (below are next steps as I captured them for Tom Wall). If I'm wrong on this, let me know.

I recall John was very interested in Jim C. and Jim K.'s input on this draft. I can suggest few options. Which do you prefer?

- 1. Review the attached, markup, and meet ~Sept. 23 to discuss our edits and consolidate them
- 2. Work via email to incorporate edits from Jim Curtin, Jim Keating, and others in Informal HQ Technical Review Team.
- 3. Other?

I am in the office Thursday, Sept. 19, and then will return on Sept. 23. Unless I hear otherwise from you noon tomorrow, Sept. 19, I'll plan to set up a meeting early next week to discuss our edits and consolidate them.

Thanks, Sarah 202 566 1167 Email to Tom Wall, 9/12:

DRAFT-DELIBERATIVE* ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE*

Hi Tom.

In follow-up to your request for a debrief on the Aug. 28 face-to-face meeting (Seattle, WA) on the Pend Oreille TMDL for temperature, below are some highlights that I took from our HQ-R10 debrief earlier this week. Once we have the draft material ("Next Steps," below) within the next week or so, I propose we reconnect with you in greater detail to share that material with you. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks, Sarah 202 566 1167

- --Participants in the August 28 meeting included 3 reps from the Kalispel Tribe, Region 10's Dave Croxton, Dan Opalski, HQ's Jim Keating, and (via telephone) Jim Curtin.
- -- Kalispel Tribe described that it doesn't want the TMDL to short circuit implementation; the tribe had specific suggestions for Box Canyon Dam (downstream of reservation).
- $\mbox{\scriptsize --EPA}$ explained how the time lag issue is real; one rep of the tribe acknowledged the reality of time lag.
- --Kalispel Tribe feels a better description of WQ impairment at the Idaho/Washington border is needed; perhaps this could be addressed in an implementation step.
- --It's important to the tribe that more accountability/pressure be put on Box Canyon Public Utility District.
- --Dan mentioned that to the extent revision to the TMDL is tweaks and not a re-do of the TMDL, perhaps the State of Washington Dept. of Ecology would be willing to make changes in the TMDL(remains to be seen).

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Next Steps: Region 10 will draft this 2-pager by ~Sept. 23 for sharing with Jim Keating, Jim Curtin (HQ Informal Technical Review Team, too); we would like to come to agreement (state-tribe-and EPA) on this 2-pager as soon as possible and find a solution promptly.

Region 10 plans to set up a meeting with State of WA Dept. of Ecology staff and then plans to set up and EPA/Ecology/tribe meeting in the near future.

From: Croxton, Dave

Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2013 2:05 PM

To: Furtak, Sarah; Goodin, John; Keating, Jim; Curtin, James **Cc:** Cope, Ben; Owens, Kim; Rueda, Helen; Opalski, Dan **Subject:** prep for Ecology Meeting on Pend Oreille

CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED

Attached is a brief outline of what we could approach Ecology with regarding the Pend Oreille TMDL. This outline may not go as far as John had requested in describing a resolution, but we feel it does describe the appropriate next step in this process which is how to engage Ecology in the issues. Let us know your reactions/comments.

Thanks, Dave